IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2011 OF 2007

THE STATE OF MAHARSHTRA ... APPLICANT.

VS.

GANESH SUBHEDAR PISAL ... RESPONDENT

MRS. S.D.SHINDE APP FOR THE APPLICANT- STATE.

NONE FOR THE RESPONDENT.

CORAM : Sri R.M.S.Khandeparkar and

Sri A.A.SAYED,JJ.

DATE : December 6, 2007.

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the applicant. None present for the respondent, though served. In fact, when the matter was called out on the earlier part of the day, it was kept back at the request of the junior of the Advocate for the respondent. However, in the second round of calling the matter at about 1.00 p.m. when the matter was called out, none appeared for the respondent.

2. Perusal of the impugned Judgment discloses that the Sessions Judge has not analysed the evidence on record in its proper perspective in the matter under consideration. Hence, leave to appeal is granted. Appeal stands admitted.

3. Action under Section 390 of the Code of Criminal Procedure to follow.

[R.M.S.Khandeparkar,J.]

[A.A.Sayed, J.]